

Statistical Analysis: Crash Course

December 1, 2022

Slides available at: tynanochse.com

Why use statistical tests?

- ▶ Short answer: we are scientists and we like precision
- ▶ Longer answer: when we perform an experiment, sometimes we think there is a difference between the data we collect and the data we expect. But when we perform a statistical test, it turns out the difference we see isn't really "significant." This can happen the other way too: we think our results fall in line with what is expected, but in fact they are significantly different.

Chi-square test

Use *when* doing a comparison between **categorical** samples.

| | Attribute 1 | Attribute 2 | Totals |
|------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Category 1 | observed | observed | Total |
| Category 2 | observed | observed | Total |
| | Total | Total | |

Every χ^2 -test will have

- ▶ Null hypothesis: There are no relationships between the categorical variables
- ▶ Significance threshold ($p \leq 0.05$ is standard)

When doing the test, “expected” data is the data you should see if the null hypothesis is true. We then compute

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$$

This means “calculate the fraction $\frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$ for every pair of observed-versus-expected data, and then add them all up”. Also compute the “degrees of freedom:”

$$DF = (\text{rows} - 1)(\text{columns} - 1)$$

So if we have two “categories” and each category has two data-points, then the degrees of freedom is equal to $(2 - 1) \cdot (2 - 1) = 1$.

These two numbers can be used to produce a p -value.

If $p \leq 0.05$, then we reject the null hypothesis.

If $p > 0.05$, we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

| | Cats | Dogs | Totals |
|----------------|------|------|--------|
| Undergraduates | 207 | 282 | 489 |
| Graduates | 231 | 242 | 473 |
| | 438 | 524 | 962 |

Expected value for undergraduates who prefer cats is $\frac{438 \cdot 489}{962}$

$$\frac{(O - E)^2}{E} = \frac{(207 - 222.64)^2}{222.64} = 1.099$$

Expected value for undergraduates who prefer dogs is $\frac{524 \cdot 489}{962}$

$$\frac{(O - E)^2}{E} = \frac{(282 - 266.36)^2}{266.36} = 0.918$$

$$\chi^2 = 1.099 + 0.918 + 1.136 + 0.949 = 4.102$$

and

$$DF = (2 - 1)(2 - 1) = 1$$

(Two categories = “freshmen” and “seniors”, and two choices for each category = “dogs” and “cats”)

Now we ask what is the probability of getting a χ^2 value of 4.102 when $DF = 1$:

$$p = 0.043$$

We see that $p < 0.05$, so we reject the null hypothesis with 95% certainty.

Percentage Points of the Chi-Square Distribution

| Degrees of Freedom | Probability of a larger value of χ^2 | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| 1 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.016 | 0.102 | 0.455 | 1.32 | 2.71 | 3.84 | 6.63 |
| 2 | 0.020 | 0.103 | 0.211 | 0.575 | 1.386 | 2.77 | 4.61 | 5.99 | 9.21 |
| 3 | 0.115 | 0.352 | 0.584 | 1.212 | 2.366 | 4.11 | 6.25 | 7.81 | 11.34 |
| 4 | 0.297 | 0.711 | 1.064 | 1.923 | 3.357 | 5.39 | 7.78 | 9.49 | 13.28 |
| 5 | 0.554 | 1.145 | 1.610 | 2.675 | 4.351 | 6.63 | 9.24 | 11.07 | 15.09 |
| 6 | 0.872 | 1.635 | 2.204 | 3.455 | 5.348 | 7.84 | 10.64 | 12.59 | 16.81 |
| 7 | 1.239 | 2.167 | 2.833 | 4.255 | 6.346 | 9.04 | 12.02 | 14.07 | 18.48 |
| 8 | 1.647 | 2.733 | 3.490 | 5.071 | 7.344 | 10.22 | 13.36 | 15.51 | 20.09 |
| 9 | 2.088 | 3.325 | 4.168 | 5.899 | 8.343 | 11.39 | 14.68 | 16.92 | 21.67 |
| 10 | 2.558 | 3.940 | 4.865 | 6.737 | 9.342 | 12.55 | 15.99 | 18.31 | 23.21 |
| 11 | 3.053 | 4.575 | 5.578 | 7.584 | 10.341 | 13.70 | 17.28 | 19.68 | 24.72 |
| 12 | 3.571 | 5.226 | 6.304 | 8.438 | 11.340 | 14.85 | 18.55 | 21.03 | 26.22 |
| 13 | 4.107 | 5.892 | 7.042 | 9.299 | 12.340 | 15.98 | 19.81 | 22.36 | 27.69 |
| 14 | 4.660 | 6.571 | 7.790 | 10.165 | 13.339 | 17.12 | 21.06 | 23.68 | 29.14 |
| 15 | 5.229 | 7.261 | 8.547 | 11.037 | 14.339 | 18.25 | 22.31 | 25.00 | 30.58 |
| 16 | 5.812 | 7.962 | 9.312 | 11.912 | 15.338 | 19.37 | 23.54 | 26.30 | 32.00 |
| 17 | 6.408 | 8.672 | 10.085 | 12.792 | 16.338 | 20.49 | 24.77 | 27.59 | 33.41 |
| 18 | 7.015 | 9.390 | 10.865 | 13.675 | 17.338 | 21.60 | 25.99 | 28.87 | 34.80 |
| 19 | 7.633 | 10.117 | 11.651 | 14.562 | 18.338 | 22.72 | 27.20 | 30.14 | 36.19 |
| 20 | 8.260 | 10.851 | 12.443 | 15.452 | 19.337 | 23.83 | 28.41 | 31.41 | 37.57 |
| 22 | 9.542 | 12.338 | 14.041 | 17.240 | 21.337 | 26.04 | 30.81 | 33.92 | 40.29 |
| 24 | 10.856 | 13.848 | 15.659 | 19.037 | 23.337 | 28.24 | 33.20 | 36.42 | 42.98 |
| 26 | 12.198 | 15.379 | 17.292 | 20.843 | 25.336 | 30.43 | 35.56 | 38.89 | 45.64 |
| 28 | 13.565 | 16.928 | 18.939 | 22.657 | 27.336 | 32.62 | 37.92 | 41.34 | 48.28 |
| 30 | 14.953 | 18.493 | 20.599 | 24.478 | 29.336 | 34.80 | 40.26 | 43.77 | 50.89 |
| 40 | 22.164 | 26.509 | 29.051 | 33.660 | 39.335 | 45.62 | 51.80 | 55.76 | 63.69 |

t-test

Use when doing a comparison between a sample and a population, or when doing a comparison between samples.

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| Sample | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | ... | n |
| Data | d_1 | d_2 | d_3 | d_4 | d_5 | ... | d_n |

t-tests only work when the population is approximately normally distributed and n is not too small.

Every t -test will have

- ▶ Null hypothesis: there is no difference between sample mean and proposed population mean.
- ▶ Confidence interval (usually 95%)

We calculate

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

and

$$DF = n - 1$$

\bar{x} is the mean of the sample.

μ_0 is the null hypothesis mean.

s is the sample standard deviation.

n is the sample size.

Use DF and the confidence level to look up a “critical value”

$$t^*$$

If $t < t^*$, then we fail to reject the null hypothesis (results are not statistically significant).

If $t > t^*$, then we reject the null hypothesis.

A biologist wants to test a special fertilizer on her crops to see if it has an affect on crop yield. She tests the fertilizer on 25 random plants and measures yield. On average, she is able to get a yield of 75 per plant without the fertilizer.

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|
| Sample | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | ... | 25 |
| Data | 76 | 81 | 80 | 79 | 82 | ... | 79 |

Null hypothesis: the fertilizer has no significant affect on crop yield. From the data we compute $\bar{x} = 79$ and $s = 10$. So

$$t = \frac{79 - 75}{\frac{10}{\sqrt{25}}} = 2$$

and

$$DF = 25 - 1 = 24$$

We find $t^* = 2.064$. Since $t < t^*$, we fail to reject the null hypothesis (i.e there is not a significant affect on crop yield by using the fertilizer).

| Degrees of freedom | Significance level | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | 20% (0.20) | 10% (0.10) | 5% (0.05) | 2% (0.02) | 1% (0.01) | 0.1% (0.001) |
| 1 | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.706 | 31.821 | 63.657 | 636.619 |
| 2 | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 31.598 |
| 3 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 12.941 |
| 4 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 8.610 |
| 5 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 6.859 |
| 6 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 5.959 |
| 7 | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.365 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 5.405 |
| 8 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 5.041 |
| 9 | 1.383 | 1.833 | 2.262 | 2.821 | 3.250 | 4.781 |
| 10 | 1.372 | 1.812 | 2.228 | 2.764 | 3.169 | 4.587 |
| 11 | 1.363 | 1.796 | 2.201 | 2.718 | 3.106 | 4.437 |
| 12 | 1.356 | 1.782 | 2.179 | 2.681 | 3.055 | 4.318 |
| 13 | 1.350 | 1.771 | 2.160 | 2.650 | 3.012 | 4.221 |
| 14 | 1.345 | 1.761 | 2.145 | 2.624 | 2.977 | 4.140 |
| 15 | 1.341 | 1.753 | 2.131 | 2.602 | 2.947 | 4.073 |
| 16 | 1.337 | 1.746 | 2.120 | 2.583 | 2.921 | 4.015 |
| 17 | 1.333 | 1.740 | 2.110 | 2.567 | 2.898 | 3.965 |
| 18 | 1.330 | 1.734 | 2.101 | 2.552 | 2.878 | 3.922 |
| 19 | 1.328 | 1.729 | 2.093 | 2.539 | 2.861 | 3.883 |
| 20 | 1.325 | 1.725 | 2.086 | 2.528 | 2.845 | 3.850 |
| 21 | 1.323 | 1.721 | 2.080 | 2.518 | 2.831 | 3.819 |
| 22 | 1.321 | 1.717 | 2.074 | 2.508 | 2.819 | 3.792 |
| 23 | 1.319 | 1.714 | 2.069 | 2.500 | 2.807 | 3.767 |
| 24 | 1.318 | 1.711 | 2.064 | 2.492 | 2.797 | 3.745 |
| 25 | 1.316 | 1.708 | 2.060 | 2.485 | 2.787 | 3.725 |
| 26 | 1.315 | 1.706 | 2.056 | 2.479 | 2.779 | 3.707 |
| 27 | 1.314 | 1.703 | 2.052 | 2.473 | 2.771 | 3.690 |
| 28 | 1.313 | 1.701 | 2.048 | 2.467 | 2.763 | 3.674 |
| 29 | 1.311 | 1.699 | 2.043 | 2.462 | 2.756 | 3.659 |
| 30 | 1.310 | 1.697 | 2.042 | 2.457 | 2.750 | 3.646 |
| 40 | 1.303 | 1.684 | 2.021 | 2.423 | 2.704 | 3.551 |
| 60 | 1.296 | 1.671 | 2.000 | 2.390 | 2.660 | 3.460 |
| 120 | 1.289 | 1.658 | 1.980 | 2.158 | 2.617 | 3.373 |
| ∞ | 1.282 | 1.645 | 1.960 | 2.326 | 2.576 | 3.291 |

We can also do comparisons between two sample groups.

Suppose the researcher in the previous example wants to compare the affect of two different fertilizers on crop yield. She randomly picks 15 plants to give fertilizer A and 12 plants to get fertilizer B. She collects the crop yield data from each group and finds

$$\text{average yield of crops using A} = \bar{x}_1 = 79$$

$$\text{average yield of crops using B} = \bar{x}_2 = 87$$

and

$$s_1 = 10$$

$$s_2 = 9$$

For comparisons between groups, we compute

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$

$$DF = (n_1 - 1) + (n_2 - 2)$$

where

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

for our example we have

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(15 - 1)10^2 + (12 - 1)9^2}{25} = 91.64$$

so

$$t = \frac{87 - 79}{\sqrt{91.64} \sqrt{\frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{12}}} = 2.1578$$

and

$$DF = (15 - 1) + (12 - 1) = 25$$

With 25 degrees of freedom and a confidence level of 95% we get

$$t^* = 2.06$$

Since

$$t = 2.1578 > 2.06 = t^*$$

we reject the null hypothesis (there is a significant difference between average crop yeilds)

ANOVA

ANOVA = analysis of variance

Comparison between several groups

| | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Group 4 |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Sample size | n_1 | n_2 | n_3 | n_4 |
| Sample mean | \bar{x}_1 | \bar{x}_2 | \bar{x}_3 | \bar{x}_4 |
| Sample SD | s_1 | s_2 | s_3 | s_4 |

k is the number of groups (so $k = 4$ in the example above).

$N = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4$ is the number of samples in the data. Data point $x_{i,j}$ is the j^{th} sample from group i , e.g. $x_{2,1}$ is the value of sample 1 from group 2.

$$\bar{x}_2 = \frac{\sum_j x_{2,j}}{n_2}$$

Set \bar{x} to be the average of the entire sample

Any ANOVA test has

- ▶ Null hypothesis: the population means are all equal
- ▶ Confidence interval/significance threshold (95%/ $p \leq 0.05$)

For a simple formula for the F -statistic, we assume sample standard deviations are all equal: $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4$. Then

$$F = \frac{V_E}{V_U}$$

where

$$V_E = \frac{\sum_i n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2}{k - 1}$$

and

$$V_U = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j (x_{i,j} - \bar{x}_i)^2}{N - k}$$

$$DF_1 = k - 1, DF_2 = N - k$$

Use DF_1 , DF_2 , and threshold 0.05 to look up critical F -value F^* .

If $F > F^*$, we reject the null hypothesis.

If $F < F^*$, we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

A nutritionist is studying the affect of different diets on weight-loss. Three diets are considered, and from the population of several hundred participants in the study, 5 people from each diet program are randomly sampled. The sample participants' weight loss is tabulated below (positive number means weight loss):

| Low cal | Low fat | Low carb | control |
|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| 8 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 9 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| 6 | 3 | 4 | -1 |
| 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |

So we have 4 groups and 5 observations from each group. This means $k = 4$, $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 5$ and $N = 20$.

- ▶ Null hypothesis: the population means in weight-loss are all equal (i.e diet does not have a significant affect on weight-loss).
- ▶ Threshold 0.05.

| | Low cal | Low fat | Low carb | control |
|-------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Sample size | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Sample mean | 6.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 1.2 |

We compute $\bar{x} = 3.6$ and

$$V_E = \frac{5(6.6 - 3.6)^2 + 5(3 - 3.6)^2 + 5(3.4 - 3.6)^2 + 5(1.2 - 3.6)^2}{4 - 1}$$

so $V_E = 25.27$.

To compute V_U , we look at the data for each group:

$$\sum_{j=1}^5 (x_{1,j} - \bar{x}_1)^2 = (8-6.6)^2 + (9-6.6)^2 + (6-6.6)^2 + (7-6.6)^2 + (3-6.6)^2$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^5 (x_{2,j} - \bar{x}_2)^2 = (2-3)^2 + (4-3)^2 + (3-3)^2 + (5-3)^2 + (1-3)^2$$

etc... to get

$$V_U = \frac{21.4 + 10.0 + 5.4 + 10.6}{20 - 4} = 2.9625$$

So

$$F = \frac{V_E}{V_U} = 8.523$$

$$DF_1 = 4 - 1 = 3, DF_2 = 20 - 4 = 16$$

With significance threshold 0.05, we get

$$F^* = 3.239$$

Since $F > F^*$ we reject the null hypothesis (i.e there is a difference in population means between the four diets)

Appendix 4a

5 per cent Points of the *F*-distribution

Column represents degrees of freedom (ν_1) for numerator of *F*-test
 Row represents degrees of freedom (ν_2) for denominator of *F*-test

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 24 | ∞ |
|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| 1 | 161.4 | 199.5 | 215.7 | 224.6 | 230.2 | 234.0 | 236.8 | 238.9 | 240.5 | 241.9 | 243.9 | 249.1 | 254.3 |
| 2 | 18.51 | 19.00 | 19.16 | 19.25 | 19.30 | 19.33 | 19.35 | 19.37 | 19.38 | 19.40 | 19.41 | 19.45 | 19.50 |
| 3 | 10.13 | 9.552 | 9.277 | 9.117 | 9.013 | 8.941 | 8.887 | 8.845 | 8.812 | 8.785 | 8.745 | 8.638 | 8.526 |
| 4 | 7.709 | 6.944 | 6.591 | 6.388 | 6.256 | 6.163 | 6.094 | 6.041 | 5.999 | 5.964 | 5.912 | 5.774 | 5.628 |
| 5 | 6.608 | 5.786 | 5.409 | 5.192 | 5.050 | 4.950 | 4.876 | 4.818 | 4.772 | 4.735 | 4.678 | 4.527 | 4.365 |
| 6 | 5.987 | 5.143 | 4.757 | 4.534 | 4.387 | 4.284 | 4.207 | 4.147 | 4.099 | 4.060 | 4.000 | 3.841 | 3.669 |
| 7 | 5.591 | 4.737 | 4.347 | 4.120 | 3.972 | 3.866 | 3.787 | 3.726 | 3.677 | 3.637 | 3.575 | 3.410 | 3.230 |
| 8 | 5.318 | 4.459 | 4.066 | 3.838 | 3.688 | 3.581 | 3.500 | 3.438 | 3.388 | 3.347 | 3.284 | 3.115 | 2.928 |
| 9 | 5.117 | 4.256 | 3.863 | 3.633 | 3.482 | 3.374 | 3.293 | 3.230 | 3.179 | 3.137 | 3.073 | 2.900 | 2.707 |
| 10 | 4.965 | 4.103 | 3.708 | 3.478 | 3.326 | 3.217 | 3.135 | 3.072 | 3.020 | 2.978 | 2.913 | 2.737 | 2.538 |
| 11 | 4.844 | 3.982 | 3.587 | 3.357 | 3.204 | 3.095 | 3.012 | 2.948 | 2.896 | 2.854 | 2.788 | 2.609 | 2.405 |
| 12 | 4.747 | 3.885 | 3.490 | 3.259 | 3.106 | 2.996 | 2.913 | 2.849 | 2.796 | 2.753 | 2.687 | 2.505 | 2.296 |
| 13 | 4.667 | 3.806 | 3.411 | 3.179 | 3.025 | 2.915 | 2.832 | 2.767 | 2.714 | 2.671 | 2.604 | 2.420 | 2.206 |
| 14 | 4.600 | 3.739 | 3.344 | 3.112 | 2.958 | 2.848 | 2.764 | 2.699 | 2.646 | 2.602 | 2.534 | 2.349 | 2.131 |
| 15 | 4.543 | 3.682 | 3.287 | 3.056 | 2.901 | 2.790 | 2.707 | 2.641 | 2.588 | 2.544 | 2.475 | 2.288 | 2.066 |
| 16 | 4.494 | 3.634 | 3.239 | 3.007 | 2.852 | 2.741 | 2.657 | 2.591 | 2.538 | 2.494 | 2.425 | 2.235 | 2.010 |

Summary

Three different types of significance tests... when to use each one?

1. χ^2 tests if there is a relationship between two variables
2. t -test tests if two groups are statistically different
3. ANOVA tests if many groups are statistically different

Examples

Scenario 1: You have a sample of a bunch of different acidic compounds with pH values between 1 and 6. You know that compound *A* reacts with acidic compounds, but you want to know if there is a relationship between the pH of the acid and the rate of the reaction with compound *A*.

Scenario 2: You have two vials of blue liquid. You know the liquids consist of some metal ion in solution: one solution contains Cu^{+2} and one contains Cr^{+2} . You also are given samples of the elemental metal extracted from each solution (you know which metal comes from which vial). You want to decide which vial contains copper ion, and so you take several samples of the elemental metals and test if it reacts with water and acid.

Scenario 3: You have 5 samples of a strong base, a weak base, a strong acid, and a weak acid. You know all compounds react with solution *B*. For each sample you calculate the reaction rate/velocity with solution *B*. You want to know if there is a significant difference in average reaction times.